

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Diego Garcia,
Plaintiff,

vs.

Jeffrey Kipp, et al.
Defendants.

No. CV-04-1747-PHX-ROS (CRP)

ORDER

On August 20, 2004, Plaintiff filed a civil rights complaint against Defendants. (Doc. 1) On April 21, 2006, Magistrate Judge Charles R. Pyle issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that the complaint be dismissed without prejudice. (Doc. 10) No objections were filed by either party.

The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). It is "clear that the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations *de novo* if *objection is made*, but not otherwise." United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (*en banc*) (emphasis in original); Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1126 (D. Ariz. 2003) ("Following Reyna-Tapia, this Court concludes that *de novo* review of factual and legal issues is required if objections are made, 'but not otherwise.'). District courts are not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject

1 of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). No objection having been
2 made, the Court will adopt the R&R in full.

3 Accordingly,

4 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 10) is **ADOPTED** and
5 this case is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

6
7 DATED June 2, 2006

8
9
10 
11 Roslyn O. Silver
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28